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Abstract

Background: Qat (also known as Khat, Kat and Miraa) is a green-leaved plant (Catha edulis). It is a shrub indigenous to Yemen and certain parts of eastern Africa. Chewing the leaves, which have sympathomimetic and euphoric effects, has been documented in many countries and increased with worldwide migration. The effect of long-term chewing Qat on the oral cavity is unknown.

Objective: A systematic review was performed to identify any associations between Qat chewing and the occurrence of potentially malignant and malignant oral disorders.

Methods: Medline and the Web of Science were searched for articles published before May 2014 without limits with regard to publication date and language.

Results: From a total of 890 papers identified, 17 English papers reported potentially malignant or malignant oral disorders and Qat chewing. One additional paper in Arabic language was identified from reviewing the list of references of eligible papers. It was found that exposure to Qat may be associated with potentially malignant and malignant oral disorders, but methodological issues, such as inadequate study design, sample size, selection of study subjects, clinical evaluations of outcome and limited adjustment for confounders, limit the strength of the evidence base in this area.

Conclusion: The association between Qat chewing and potentially malignant and malignant oral disorders remains debatable and requires further investigations.
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Introduction

Qat (also known as Khat, Kat and Miraa) is a green-leaved plant (Catha edulis) and belongs to the Celastraceae family. It is a dicotyledonous evergreen flowering tree that grows in the equatorial climates mainly in the Arabian Peninsula and the regions around the horn of Africa (Fig 1). Ethiopia, Yemen, Kenya, Madagascar and Somalia are the five main Qat growing countries. The plant also grows to a lesser extent in Uganda, Tanzania, Rwanda, Zimbabwe, Zaire, Angola, Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia, Swaziland and South Africa. Qat is known by various names in different regions; it is called Miraa in Kenya, Qat in Somalia and Yemen, and Chat in Ethiopia (Fig 2). The environment and climatic conditions in which it is grown determine the chemical profile of Qat leaves and, to some extent, impact its usage and effects on health.
its taste. For example, in Yemen, there are 44 types of Qat cultivated in different geographic areas of the country.\textsuperscript{1,5} Normally, Qat leaves have an astringent taste and an aromatic smell but the young leaves are slightly sweet.\textsuperscript{1,5} Qat chewing has been traditionally a habit of men in Yemen however, recently it has been reported that women start to chew Qat as integral part of their social life.\textsuperscript{6}

Qat contains a number of chemicals including cathine and cathinone.\textsuperscript{7,8} These chemicals are similar in structure to but less potent than amphetamine. However, they cause similar psychomotor stimulant effects.\textsuperscript{7} Cathinone is considered the main active ingredient in the fresh leaves of Qat and has sympathomimetic effects that have been shown to increase heart rate and blood pressure.\textsuperscript{1} In 1980, the World Health Organization (WHO) classified Qat as a drug of abuse that can produce mild to moderate degrees of psychological dependence, but to a lesser extent than tobacco and alcohol.\textsuperscript{8} Furthermore, Qat has been reviewed several times by a WHO Expert Committee on Drug Dependence, and it was concluded that the level of abuse and threat to public health is not significant enough to warrant international control.\textsuperscript{8,9} Nevertheless, some countries including Finland, Germany, New Zealand, Sweden, France, Norway, Denmark, Canada, USA,\textsuperscript{10} UK,\textsuperscript{11} and Saudi Arabia,\textsuperscript{12} have prohibited Qat consumption. Even though Qat import into these countries is illegal, certain quantities are believed to be smuggled into them.

Qat leaves are chewed for different purposes: relieve fatigue, enhance work capacity, stay alert, reduce hunger, induce euphoria, and enhance self-esteem.\textsuperscript{13,14} Qat has been appreciated for medical purposes too.\textsuperscript{15} However, it is mainly chewed for recreational purposes. For example, it is usually chewed during informal gatherings (Qat sessions) in which the participants are engaged in discussions and preserve social contact. During Qat sessions, the leaves and the tender younger stalks of the plant are chewed in the mouth over sever-
al hours and the residues are stored in the cheeks. As new leaves are taken, a bulging cheek pouch is created. Given that the process of Qat chewing has a drying effect on the oral mucosa, its users tend to consume a great quantity of non-alcoholic fluids such as water, coffee and soft drinks. Some of Qat users also supplement their chewing practice with tobacco smoking.

Based on the Family Health Survey carried in 2003 in Yemen, it was estimated that 58% of males and 29% of females aged 10 years and older chewed Qat during their life time. More recently, a national household survey conducted in 2006 showed that 78% of males and 53% of females contacted in Sana’a reported current Qat use. Among a random sample of 1200 adults of a rural community in Ethiopia, Belew, et al. reported that 18% of women and 40% of men currently chew Qat. In the UK, among the Somali communities, approximately one-third chewed Qat on regular basis, with Qat chewing reported also an emerging problem in Australia and among Somali immigrants in Norway.

Qat Use and Effects on Health

The half-life of the active compound of Qat is about four hours, depending on the amount of chewed Qat. Once the acute effects disappear, chewers experience feelings of anxiety, irritability, emotionlessness, depression, lack of energy, and mental fatigue. Chronic use of Qat has been shown to be associated with cardiovascular effects (eg, acute coronary vasospasm and myocardial infarction), gastrointestinal tract problems (eg, chronic constipation), cytotoxic effects on liver and kidney, reproductive effects (eg, low fetal birth weight, infant mortality), and mental illness (eg, psychosis). Several effects of Qat chewing on the oral cavity have been reported. They are included periodontal pocket formation, gingival recession, discoloration of teeth, xerostomia, and pain in the temporo-mandibular joint.

It has been estimated that about 90% of the alkaloid content of Qat is extracted into saliva during chewing and most of it is absorbed through the oral mucosa. Therefore, oral tissues might be exposed to high doses of Qat constituents during Qat chewing rendering them susceptible to its potential toxic effects. In addition, chewing Qat causes mechanical and/or chemical irritation, leading to thickening and keratinization of the mucosa that might cause oral lesions associated with Qat chewing.

In experimental studies, a higher rate of genetic damage in buccal mucosa cells has been observed among Qat chewers compared to non-chewers. Qat consumption lead to an 8-fold increase in the formation of micronuclei in human oral cells in one study, suggesting genotoxic effects of Qat consumption. An organic Qat extract was shown to induce tumor suppressor proteins and G1 cell cycle arrest in normal oral fibroblast and keratinocytes in vitro. Furthermore, the study showed that Qat induced premature differentiation and keratinization in oral keratinocytes grown in co-cultures with normal fibroblasts, possibly through signaling of p38 MAP kinase. When exposed to a higher concentration of Qat the oral fibroblasts and keratinocytes underwent programmed cell death in a process involving reactive oxygen species. Moreover, the study also found that oxidative stress can occur in oral cells exposed to Qat. If this occurs in vivo, it could lead to a host of cellular and tissue responses such as increased or decreased proliferation, increased keratotic differentiation and damage to macromolecules like DNA.

Qat-mediated cell death in normal oral fibroblast and keratinocytes was lately described to involve an early effect on mitochondrial integrity and function. A study showed that Qat induced alterations such
as premature differentiation, senescence and abnormal keratinization, which was accompanied by increased levels of p38. Other studies have also found Qat to be associated with free radical production. Qat was also shown to induce cell death through mechanisms involving activation of a family of cysteine proteases named caspases (caspase-1, -3 and -8). Therefore, the cytotoxic effects associated with Qat raise concerns about Qat chewing and the possible development of potentially malignant and malignant lesions in the oral cavity. Potentially malignant oral disorders are epithelial lesions with an increased propensity towards oral cancer. The WHO working group on oral cancer classifies leukoplakia, erythroplakia, dysplastic leukoplakia, actinic keratosis, dysplastic lichenoid lesion, oral submucous fibrosis, and lichen planus as potentially malignant oral disorders.

Considering that the oral cavity is the first place directly exposed to Qat in those who chew it and that there are few studies on whether chewing Qat causes potentially malignant and malignant oral disorders, we conducted this systematic review to summarize the available scientific literature on the association between chewing Qat and developing potentially malignant and malignant oral disorders.

Materials and Methods

Medline and Web of Science were searched for articles published before May 2014 without any limits for the publication date or language. We carried out a title/abstract search in the PubMed, abstract search in Medline and topic search in Web of Science, using the following terms: khat OR qat OR miraa OR Catha edulis. We did not limit the search to a particular health outcome to make sure not to miss any relevant papers. In addition, to the electronic database search, we reviewed the list of references of all eligible studies included in this systemic review. All search results were combined in a bibliographic management tool, EndNote®, with duplicate record removed.

Studies on potentially malignant oral disorders, based on the WHO definition, or oral cancer were included in our review, if they met the following criteria: 1) published in English or Arabic, and 2) reported as case-series, case report, and cross-sectional, cohort and case-control studies. Because Qat is most frequently used in Yemen, some studies were expected to be published in Arabic. Therefore, we included Arabic articles in our review too. To identify eligible studies we reviewed abstracts of all papers identified by the above-mentioned search strategy. When it was unclear from the abstract of the article if it is matched our criteria, the full text of the paper was reviewed.

Results

The search generated 2083 citations. After removing duplicates, 890 abstracts were reviewed for eligibility based on the above-mentioned criteria. Full text articles were reviewed for 45 studies published in English, with 18 reporting on potentially malignant or malignant oral disorders and Qat chewing, of which two papers reported the same study results. One additional paper was identified from reviewing the list of references of the 18 eligible papers, which was in Arabic. Therefore, in total, 18 dis-

TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

- Qat chewing is common in the Horn of Africa and in Yemen.
- Chewing Qat has health hazards.
- Chewing Qat may be associated with potentially malignant oral disorders at the site of the chewing.
tinct studies were identified for inclusion in this review. There were one retrospective cohort, two case-control, and seven cross-sectional studies, and six case-series and two case-reports (Table 1).

**Retrospective Cohort Studies**

A retrospective cohort study was carried out to investigate the practicability of using exfoliative cytology to detect the presence and severity of oral epithelial atypia in 300 males (150 chewers and 150 non-chewers) in Yemen. The study found that among Qat chewers, 4% had atypical cytological changes and 16% had hyperkeratosis in the buccal mucosa; none of these outcomes were seen among non-chewers, resulting in odds ratios (OR) of 1.6 (95% CI 0.8 to 7.2) and 3.0 (95% CI 1.1 to 21.9), respectively. The study indicated that Qat chewing might be considered a risk factor for the occurrence of cytological atypia and hyperkeratosis in the buccal mucosa, which are frequently seen in premalignant and malignant oral lesions.

**Case-Control Studies**

In overall 200 voluntary Yemeni Shamama users (smokeless chewing tobacco), the association between oral lesions with Shamama chewing was examined, based on 58 non-diseased controls and 142 cases with oral lesions. Scheifele, et al., reported that 31% of the examined persons presented with mucosal burns, 27% with oral leukoplakia, and 13% with other potentially malignant oral disorders (frictional keratosis, oral lichenoid reaction, pseudo-membranous candidiasis, oral squamous cell carcinoma, morsicatio buccarum, oral lichen planus, and white sponge nevus). Of the 200 Shamama chewers, 184 chewed Qat. Shamama chewers who chewed Qat for more than six hours a day were at increased risk of oral leukoplakia (OR 4.2; 95% CI 1.4 to 12.4) and mucosal burns (OR 3.0; 95% CI 1.1 to 8.4) compared with Shamama chewers who chewed Qat for less than three hours a day.

A case-control study of 85 cases and 141 controls was conducted in Kenya to determine the role of tobacco, alcohol and Qat chewing in the development of oral leukoplakia. The study did not find a significant association between Qat chewing and developing oral leukoplakia (OR 1.8; 95% CI 0.7 to 5.0). However, the study was not able to disentangle the influence of Qat from that of tobacco smoking (OR 8.4; 95% CI 4.1 to 17.4). Furthermore, the study had a very low frequency of chewers (10 cases and 10 controls) possibly due to the banning of cultivation, sale and chewing of Qat by the local administration in the study area. Therefore, it was likely that some persons did not dare to report this habit.

**Cross-Sectional Studies**

In a cross-sectional study in Yemen, 162 female participants, of whom 67% were Qat chewers, were studied. Leukoplakia appeared in 75% of participants at the chewing sites. The lesion was found on the opposite side in only 5.5% of subjects. The study also reported a positive correlation between cigarette or water pipe smoking and presence of oral leukoplakia. However, the duration of smoking was not significantly correlated with the presence of the lesion.

In another cross-sectional study 490 (75.4%) of 515 males and 135 females from Yemen were Qat chewers. White patches on buccal or gingival mucosa were observed in 94.7% of Qat chewers at the chewing sites and in 8% of non-chewers (p<0.001); red patches on the buccal and gingival mucosa were observed in 3.8% of Qat chewers and none of the non-chewers. Sixty percent of the chewers also smoked either cigarette or water pipe. The authors reported that when the study was stratified by tobacco use, the risk remained among Qat chewers...
Table 1: Characteristics of the studies reviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author name, years, study area, study period</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study population definition</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Exposed population</th>
<th>Comparison group</th>
<th>Characteristics of chewers</th>
<th>% tobacco Qat chewers: % tobacco Non Qat chewers if applicable and/or assessment</th>
<th>Health outcomes if applicable and/or assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed, 2011, Yemen, Not reported</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>From 600 randomly selected volunteers living in the city of Haja without bad oral hygiene, non-tobacco users, and non-alcohol consumers</td>
<td>13-80</td>
<td>Qat chewers ≥3 years with a frequency of more than 2 times per day (n=150)</td>
<td>Non Qat chewers (n=150)</td>
<td>100% chewed Qat for at least 3 years with a frequency of &gt;2 times per day</td>
<td>None of the participants smoked any kind of tobacco</td>
<td>Atypical cytological changes and hyperkeratosis in the buccal mucosa; exfoliative cytology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheifele, 2007, Yemen, April-October 2004</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Yemeni Shamma users in 48 different Yemeni villages and cities</td>
<td>11-74</td>
<td>Cases: Individuals with mucosal burns, oral leukoplakia and other potentially malignant oral disorders (n=142)</td>
<td>Controls: Individuals without any oral precancerous lesions or oral cancer (n=58) not matched to the cases</td>
<td>100 individuals chewed Qat for ≥6 h/day, 37 individuals for &gt;3 h/day, and 46 individuals for &lt;3 h/day</td>
<td>61% (n=122) were cigarette smokers regardless of their Qat chewing status</td>
<td>Clinically confirmed by health professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macigo, 1995, Kenya, Not reported</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Any household member who were age 15 years or older and living in Githongo sublocation in Meru District and residence for at least 5 years in the study area</td>
<td>21-75</td>
<td>Cases: Individuals with oral leukopla-kia (n=85)</td>
<td>Controls: Individuals free of disease (n=141) matched with the controls in terms of gender and age (±3 years), neighbourhood</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Regardless of Qat chewing status 42% (n=96) were cigarette smokers and/or Kiraiku smokers</td>
<td>Clinically confirmed by health professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt-Westhausen, 2013, Yemen 2006-2008</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Female patients who presented to dental clinics of Al-thawara health institution in Sana’a city for dental treatment</td>
<td>20-65</td>
<td>Qat chewers &gt;5 year, chewed on one side of their mouths only, non-Shamma user (n=109)</td>
<td>Non Qat chewers (n=53)</td>
<td>31%, 48%, and 21% started chewing at 10-20, 20-30, and 30-40 years of age, respectively. 15%, 29%, 9% and 62% chewed Qat for 1-2, 3-5, 6-7 days/week, respectively. Average period of chewing Qat was &gt;6 h/day.</td>
<td>19% (n=21) cigarette smokers and Qat chewers; 17% (n=9) cigarette smokers and non Qat chewers 39% (n=43) water pipe smokers and Qat chewers; 17% (n=9) water pipe smokers and non Qat chewers</td>
<td>White patches on buccal mucosa and gingival mucosa; red patches on buccal mucosa and gingival mucosa; clinical examination and surface palpation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Sharabi, 2011, Yemen, Not reported</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Subjects randomly selected from patients attending dental clinics in two hospitals in Sana’a, Yemen</td>
<td>18-60</td>
<td>Qat chewers for &gt;3 years and chewed on one side of their mouths (n=490)</td>
<td>Non Qat chewers (n=25)</td>
<td>73%, 20%, 7% chewed Qat every day, 1-2 and 3-5 days per week, respectively. 77%, 15%, 8% chewed Qat for 3-5, &gt;6, 1-2 hours per session, respectively. All the participants chewed Qat for &gt;10 years</td>
<td>43% (n=210) cigarette smokers and Qat chewers; 4% (n=6) cigarette smokers and non Qat chewers 26% (n=125) water pipe Qat chewers; 1% (n=2) water pipe and non Qat chewers</td>
<td>Hyperorthokeratosis, hyperparakeratosis and epithelial dysplasia; clinical examination and surface palpation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Characteristics of the studies reviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author name, years, study area, study period</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study population definition</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Exposed population</th>
<th>Comparison group</th>
<th>Characteristics of chewers</th>
<th>% tobacco Qat chewers: % tobacco Non Qat chewers if available</th>
<th>Health outcomes if applicable and/or assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yarom, 2010, Israeli, Not reported</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Population survey of 1500 male Yemenite Israelis of 1 city in Israel with large population of Yemenite Jews</td>
<td>30-84</td>
<td>Chewed Qat ≥2 times a weeks for &gt;3 years (n=47)</td>
<td>Never chewed Qat (n=55)</td>
<td>Mean years of Qat chewing is 24 years</td>
<td>68% (n=32) cigarette smokers and Qat chewers; 46% (n=25) cigarette smokers and non Qat chewers</td>
<td>Leukoplakia; clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali, 2007, Yemen, Not reported</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Yemeni volunteers free from any systemic disease</td>
<td>22-58</td>
<td>Chewed Qat for &gt;10 years on one side of the mouth. Biopsies from the non-chewing side (n=33)</td>
<td>Same individuals of the exposure group but the biopsies are from the non-chewing sides (n=33)</td>
<td>100% chewed Qat for more than 10 years</td>
<td>33% (n=11) cigarette smokers and Qat chewers; 33% (n=11) water pipe smokers and Qat chewers</td>
<td>Leukoplakia; histopathologically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali, 2004, Yemen, February 2001-August 2002</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Yemenis patients registered at the faculty of dentistry, Sana'a University. Patients with systemic diseases were excluded</td>
<td>Mean age 27 years</td>
<td>Qat chewers (n=1538)</td>
<td>Non Qat chewers (n=342)</td>
<td>3%, 45%, 27%, 24% chewed Qat for 1-2, 5-6, 10-15 years, respectively 46% chewed every day, 47% chewed 1-2 days/weeks and 7% chewed 5 days/week.</td>
<td>Regardless of Qat chewing status, 26% (n=659) were cigarette smokers, 5% (n=115) Mada users, and 2% (n=47) Shamma users</td>
<td>Oral keratotic white lesions; clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali, 2003, Yemen, 2001</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Yemeni patients randomly selected at the faculty of dentistry San'a University</td>
<td>5-85</td>
<td>Qat chewers (n=605)</td>
<td>Non Qat chewers (n=395)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Regardless of their Qat chewing status, 26% (n=260) were cigarette smokers, 11% (n=110) water pipe smokers, and 2% (n=20) used smokeless tobacco</td>
<td>Keratotic white lesions; clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, 1987, Yemen, Not reported</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Yemeni men and have a minimum of four pairable teeth in each quadrant registered at the Jibla Baptist Hospital</td>
<td>Mean age 35 years</td>
<td>Qat chewers (n=121)</td>
<td>Same individuals of the exposure group but the clinical examination are from the non-chewing side (n=121)</td>
<td>Average of Qat chewing 20 years and at least 5 days a week.</td>
<td>Not collected</td>
<td>Keratosis; clinical examination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showing that white lesions on the oral mucosa might be exclusively caused by Qat chewing. Furthermore, if the lesions were attributed to any types of smoking, they would have been present anywhere in the oral cavity and not just at the site of Qat chewing.

In another cross-sectional study aimed at assessing the association between habitual Qat chewing and development of oral leukoplakia among Yemeni Jewish men,\textsuperscript{29,47} 47 Qat chewers who chewed Qat at least twice a week for over three years and 55 controls who did not chew Qat were compared. Leukoplakia was found in 39 (83%) Qat chewers and 9 (16%) non-chewers. A significantly higher rate of occurrence of leukoplakia was observed on the chewing side (n=37 [95%]) compared to the non-chewing side (n=3 [8%]). Although it was expected that more lesions would occur among those who were also smokers, the prevalence of leukoplakia in Qat chewers who also smoked (84%) was not significantly different with that in those who did not smoke (80%); this also supports a possible direct association between development of leukoplakia and Qat chewing.

A study on 33 Yemeni volunteers who had chewed Qat for more than 10 years, revealed hyperorthokeratosis in 12%, hyperparakeratosis in 67%, and epithelial dysplasia in 30% of the participants’ chewing side. None of these abnormalities, however, were reported in the non-chewing side. On the other hand, when the 22 smokers (cigarette or water pipe) were compared with 11 non-smokers, the lesions appeared respectively in 9%, 73%, and 41% of the chewing side of the smokers, and in 27%, 55%, and 9% of the chewing side of the non-smokers; none of these lesions were observed in the non-chewing side of either smokers or non-smokers.\textsuperscript{47} Therefore, it seems that most of the changes observed in the oral mucosa could be attributed to Qat chewing. Regardless of the amount of Qat consumed, the histopathological changes between those who chewed large or small to moderate amounts of Qat were not different.\textsuperscript{47}

In a cross-sectional study of 1818 male and 682 female Yemeni citizens,\textsuperscript{48} 1538 (61.5%) were Qat chewers.\textsuperscript{48} Of the Qat chewers 342 (22.2%) had oral keratotic white lesions at the chewing side; only 6 (0.6%) of non-chewers had similar lesions. While most of the Qat chewers smoked tobacco, the lesions appeared at the chewing side and did not extend to other parts of the oral cavity. Of those with keratotic white lesions, 26% were only Qat chewers, an observation supporting the possibility of association between Qat chewing and development of the lesion.\textsuperscript{48} The study showed that these lesions were increased in number and severity as the duration and frequency of Qat chewing increased. For example, 2.6% of chewers who chewed Qat one day per week and 37% of daily chewers had oral white lesions; similarly, 11% of those who had chewed Qat for less than five years and 48% of persons who had chewed Qat for more than 10 years had oral white lesions. Moreover, most of the grade III white lesions occurred in those who had chewed Qat daily for at least 10 years.

In another cross-sectional study of 1000 Yemeni citizens (722 males and 278 females), 605 (60.5%) were Qat chewers.\textsuperscript{49} Keratotic white lesions appeared on the oral mucosa in 142 (23.5%) Qat chewers of whom 14.9% had grade I, 6.3% grade II, and 2.3% grade III disease. Only 3 (0.8%) of non-chewers presented with keratotic white lesions (all grade III). From the non-smoker Qat chewers 41% presented with keratotic white lesions.\textsuperscript{49} Of 115 Yemeni male Qat chewers, 48% had degrees of keratosis in the chewing side;\textsuperscript{50} none of the lesions were suggestive of malignancy or dysplasia.\textsuperscript{50}
Case Series

To investigate the effects of Qat and Sham ma on oral mucosa, a study was conducted in Saudi Arabia University Hospital between 1981 and 1983. In a 2-year period, 64 cancer patients (56 men and 8 women with a median age of 46 years) were reported to the hospital. From the 64 cancer patients, 38 had oral cancer of whom 16 had used Shamma alone; 22 had used both Qat and Shamma. The other 14 of 64 cases developed pharyngeal and laryngeal cancers, none of whom reported Qat chewing. The median duration of use for Shamma was 15 and for Qat was 12 years. Alcohol was not consumed by studied patients. Only 14% of the patients were cigarette smokers.

In a 2-year review of cancer patients registered at the Asir Central Hospital, Saudi Arabia, Soufi, et al, reported head and neck cancers among 28 patients who lived in border areas of Yemen and Saudi Arabia. Ten of these cancer patients presented with a history of Qat chewing. Of 28 cancer patients, eight had oral cancer all of whom reported habitual Qat chewing; some of them reported keeping a Qat bolus on the same side as the lesion. The other two cases had parotid tumor with metastases to cervical lymph nodes. All were non-smoking and had chewed Qat for 25 years or more.

Another study identified 36 Yemeni patients with cancer (23 males and 13 females, median age of 50 years) diagnosed between 1997 and 1998 at the Ear, Nose and Throat Clinic and Dermatology Clinic of the Saudi Hospital, Hajjaj, Yemen, of whom 30 patients were habitual Qat chewers. Seventeen patients had oral cancer and all were Qat chewers; 10 were also Shamma users and five were tobacco smokers. However, the authors suggested that the findings were inconclusive.

An examination of all primary solid tumors recorded in the surgical pathology files at Al-Thawara Hospital in Sana’a in 2004 revealed that oral cancer was the most frequent (18% of 649) malignancies in both males (17.2% of 348) and females (19.6% of 301). Among those with chewing and smoking information (92 of 119), 76% were tobacco chewers, 59.8% were Qat chewers, and 23.9% were cigarette smokers; 26% of men and 13% of women chewed both tobacco and Qat.

In 2002–2003, Ali, et al, studied the histopathological changes in 50 Yemeni patients (43 males and seven females, mean age of 38 years), of whom 40 were Qat chewers (17 cigarette smokers) registered in the Department of Oral Pathology and Medicine in Yemen. Biopsy was taken from the chewing side of the 40 Qat chewers, from the non-chewing side of 20 Qat chewers (11 cigarette smokers), and from the oral cavity of 10 participants who neither chewed Qat nor smoked cigarette. Mild orthokeratosis, parakeratosis, and epithelial dysplasia of the oral mucosa were found on the chewing side in 39, 10, and 10 individuals, respectively. The frequencies of the histopathological changes were lower on the non-chewing side with 10, 2, and none of the individuals presented with orthokeratosis, parakeratosis, and epithelial dysplasia, respectively. All biopsies taken from the oral mucosa of non-chewing individuals were normal. No differences were demonstrated in the histopathological changes between the biopsies of Qat chewers, whether they smoked or not.

In a study sample of 79 Yemeni males, whitening with mild corrugation, frictional keratosis or keratosis with mild or severe corrugation were present in 100% (n=54) of the Qat chewers on the chewing side and in 4% (n=1) of non-chewers. The types of the clinical findings on the chewing sides varied according to the duration of Qat chewing habit with more lesions
among those with longer duration of chewing *Qat*. There was no statistical difference when comparing the clinical findings between the right and left side of the non-chewers, smokers and non-smokers, while the difference was statistically significant between chewing and non-chewing sides of the *Qat* chewers. Furthermore, the authors reported there was statistical difference when comparing chewing sides of *Qat* chewers with both sides of non-chewers, smokers and non-smokers. On the other hand, no statistical difference was found between non-chewing sides of *Qat* chewers and both sides of non-chewers whatever the smoking habit was. The authors concluded that *Qat* chewing causes oral white lesions on the chewing side and that smoking, clinically, does not exacerbate such lesions.

**Case Reports**

A case report of a 42-year-old female originally from Kenya living in the UK presented with squamous cell carcinoma of the floor of the mouth reported *Qat* chewing. She had also smoked more than 30 cigarettes a day and drunk alcohol. Two case reports of histologically confirmed oral verrucous carcinoma were presented at the University of Nairobi in Kenya. Both of them had history of *Qat* chewing; one also had a history of tobacco chewing and snuff consumption.

**Discussion**

Based on the retrospective cohort study, the two case-control studies, the seven cross-sectional studies, the six case series and the two case reports studied, it is suggested that potentially malignant or malignant oral disorders would occur more likely in the mucosal tissue of the buccal side where *Qat* is chewed and stored. However, in the majority of these studies, tobacco use (smokeless and smoking) was also reported among *Qat* users. Smoking and smokeless tobacco are known to be associated with pre-malignant oral lesions and thus, proper adjustment for tobacco use is essential for the examination of *Qat* use and the occurrence of potentially malignant lesions in the oral cavity. The harmful effect of *Qat* use could be due to the mechanical friction during chewing over many years of inducing irritation and inflammation as well as cytoxic effects of *Qat* on oral mucosal cells.

It is noteworthy to acknowledge that the results of the above reviewed literature of *Qat* chewing should be interpreted cautiously. Important limitations were identified that limit the conclusions that can be drawn from these studies: a) limitations in the study design, such as poorly implemented retrospective studies that do not fully comply with a typical case-control study design or historical cohort study design, definitions with scant descriptions of periods of observations, temporal sequence between health outcome and exposure detection being questionable, and sample selection of study participants potentially having an impact on estimates derived from the studies; b) lack of controlling for known risk factors of pre-malignant oral cancerous lesions that are highly correlated with *Qat* use, such as tobacco use (smokeless and non-smokeless) or alcohol consumption, which are established causes of pre-cancerous oral lesions and oral cancer; c) not clear for most of the case series whether they included consecutive patients or a selection of patients; d) some studies were from Saudi Arabia where *Qat* use is prohibited so reporting of *Qat* chewing might not have been accurate; e) in several studies, the diagnosis was solely based on clinical evaluations and the histopathological examination was not performed or not reported. In addition, most of the studies were conducted in Yemen and there is a lack of data from oth-
countries where Qat is chewed. Chewing behavior could be different in those countries as well as the Qat variety chewed.

In summary, despite of being very popular in some parts of the world, there are relatively few sound epidemiological studies on Qat use and its association with potentially malignant or malignant oral disorders. Considering the limitations identified in the few studies conducted so far, it is not possible to draw firm conclusions.

**Qat Chewing and Tobacco Smoking**

Tobacco smoking is common among those who chew Qat in various populations. In a study of 204 male Qat chewers identified from Qat outlets in the UK, 21% used tobacco only when chewing Qat, and 44% were daily cigarette smokers. While between 12% and 30% Qat chewers reported initiations of tobacco smoking with Qat chewing, apparently Qat is also a “getaway” from tobacco smoking. In a cross-sectional study among Jewish Yemeni, smoking Qat chewers smoked more than non-chewers smokers and the mean number of cigarettes was 29.5 compared to 22.3 per day. In line with these findings, Belew, et al, also reported that both daily and over two years of Qat chewing increased the risk of heavy smoking. The co-occurrence of Qat chewing and tobacco smoking is increasing and is reported mainly amongst Qat chewers in the diasporas.

Women and men may use different tobacco products during Qat sessions, as there are different forms of tobacco (cigarettes smoking, water pipe smoking, and smokeless tobacco Shamma) available in the countries where Qat is used. For example, Nakajima, et al, reported that the number of cigarettes smoked during a Qat session was higher among men than among women, whereas the frequency of water pipe use during the session was higher among women than men. To the best of our knowledge, no reliable research has studied the acute and chronic effects of cathinone-nicotine combination with potentially malignant oral disorders and oral cancer. Therefore, future studies are needed to study if Qat is associated with excess risk of cancer in the oral cavity, if this effect is independent of tobacco smoking and whether there is a synergistic effect on the cancer risk when both products are concomitantly used.

**Qat Chewing and Alcohol Drinking**

Due to the acute stimulating effects of Qat and its effects on mood and sleep, Qat users may also use alcohol as a method to calm down. One study in Kenya reported that among 100 randomly selected outpatients at the Meru District Hospital, 29 were Qat chewers including 20 who were also heavy alcohol consumers. Similarly, a study in Ethiopia showed that 43.3% of 427 Qat chewing students also drank alcohol. However, alcohol drinking is not common among the Yemeni population as most of them are Muslim where their religion prohibits drinking and hence reporting alcohol use. Nevertheless, it should be investigated if alcohol exacerbates the effects of Qat chewing on the oral mucosa.

**Qat Chewing and Pesticides**

Future studies need to take into account the role of pesticides on the risk of potentially malignant oral disorders and oral cancer. Several cancers have been identified to be associated with pesticide exposure, including oral cancer. Qat trees are frequently sprayed with pesticides, including banned products, and at higher doses in Qat-producing countries to improve its quality. Therefore, chewing the leaves without any cleansing (such as washing, soaking in hot water, or thermal treatments), which is the traditional way of using the product, may lead to higher exposure to pesticides. A
study conducted in 1997-1998 to evaluate the acute toxic effects of pesticides used on Qat, estimated that 70% of all pesticides used in Yemen were used on this plant.\textsuperscript{68} The study indicated that some of the globally banned pesticides, such as DDT, were still used in Yemen in 1997-1998.\textsuperscript{69} A study by Al-Gohry\textsuperscript{70} in 1997-1998 indicated that DDT, aldrin and lindane pesticides were still commonly used by farmers in Taiz governorate. In 2007, a survey among 319 Qat farmers in Yemen, reported that few farmers used DDT and lindane.\textsuperscript{67} A survey among 70 Qat farmers in Ethiopia showed that 86% of them used DDT and other pesticides in Qat farming.\textsuperscript{71}

Furthermore, it has been reported that Qat farmers do not follow the safety instructions. For example, a substantial proportion of Qat farmers in Yemen ignored the required period to harvest after the last spraying. Al-Haja, et al.\textsuperscript{72} reported that 50% of farmers interviewed stated that a period of 7–10 days was required between harvesting Qat and the last spraying, and that half of the farmers said that the period should be 10–20 days. A field study among six Qat farmers in Addwan village in Yemen found that farmers harvested Qat between 3 and 52 days from the last spraying, with an average of 30 days.\textsuperscript{73} A field study by Al-Mola found that 39% of Qat farmers harvested Qat after 3–6 days, 41% after 7–10 days, and 5% did so after 11–15 days from the last spraying.\textsuperscript{74} A study by Abdu-laziz in Ethiopia indicated that some Qat farmers harvested a recently sprayed Qat for sale and self-consumption,\textsuperscript{75} potentially putting themselves and their consumers at higher risk of oral exposure to and ingestion of pesticides.

Some Qat farmers prefer to use higher doses of pesticides than that recommended on the label. For example, Al-Haja, et al.\textsuperscript{72} reported that 40% of the farmers restricted their use to concentrations of pesticides on the label, but 60% did not follow the instructions. Qat harvesting during the waiting period after pesticide application and the use of high pesticide concentrations for spraying will increase the amount of pesticide residues on Qat.\textsuperscript{72}

Another study conducted in 2009 investigated the presence and level of pesticide residues in Qat samples collected from various parts of Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{74} The Qat samples had pp’-DDT concentrations ranging from 141.2 to 973.0 µg/kg. Maximum concentrations were found between 240 and 1200 times the European Union maximum recommended levels for DDT in food (vegetables 10 µg/kg, and cereals 50 µg/kg). The high levels of DDT in the Qat samples instead of DDE suggested that DDT is currently in use as a pest control agent in the study region. In another study in Ethiopia, 60 of 70 Qat farmers surveyed mentioned the use of DDT and other pesticides for Qat cultivation.\textsuperscript{75} It is therefore of utmost importance that information on the source of Qat and if it is cultivated, is collected in any future study on Qat use and health effects.

**Conclusions**

From the literature reviewed in this publication, dominated by studies from a single country, namely Yemen, it is still not clear whether Qat use is a risk factor in the development of potentially malignant or malignant oral disorders. This is due to the limitations of studies conducted so far, which are small in number anyway. Additionally, evidence in the reviewed literature is conflicting about whether Qat may play a tobacco-independent direct role in the development of potentially malignant oral disorders and oral cancer in populations. However, from the literature there is some suggestive finding that Qat chewing can provoke pre-cancerous lesions at the site of the chewing.
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